

THE NORMED AND BANACH ENVELOPES OF $\text{Weak}L^1$

DENNY H. LEUNG

ABSTRACT. The space $\text{Weak}L^1$ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions on $[0, 1]$ such that

$$q(f) = \sup_{c>0} c \lambda\{t : |f(t)| > c\}$$

is finite, where λ denotes Lebesgue measure. Let ρ be the gauge functional of the convex hull of the unit ball $\{f : q(f) \leq 1\}$ of the quasi-norm q , and let N be the null space of ρ . The normed envelope of $\text{Weak}L^1$, which we denote by W , is the space $(\text{Weak}L^1/N, \rho)$. The Banach envelope of $\text{Weak}L^1$, $\overline{W}$, is the completion of W . We show that $\overline{W}$ is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W . It is also shown that all rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces are isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W .

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space. The space $\text{Weak}L^1(\mu)$ consists of all (equivalence classes of almost everywhere equal) real-valued Σ -measurable functions f for which the quasinorm

$$q(f) = \sup_{c>0} c \mu\{\omega : |f(\omega)| > c\}$$

is finite. This space arose in connection with certain interpolation results, and is of importance in harmonic analysis. If (Ω, Σ, μ) is purely non-atomic, the maximal seminorm ρ on $\text{Weak}L^1(\mu)$ was found in [1] and [2] to be

$$\rho(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{q/p > n \\ p, q > 0}} \int_{p \leq |f| \leq q} |f| d\mu / \ln(q/p).$$

The normed envelope of $\text{Weak}L^1(\mu)$ is the normed space $W(\mu) = (\text{Weak}L^1(\mu)/N, \rho)$, where N denotes the null space of the functional ρ . The Banach envelope is the completion $\overline{W(\mu)}$ of $W(\mu)$. In this paper, we consider (up to measure isomorphism) only the measure space $[0, 1]$ endowed with Lebesgue measure λ . We denote $W(\lambda)$ and $\overline{W(\lambda)}$ by W and $\overline{W}$ respectively. Peck and Talagrand [5] showed that $\overline{W}$ is universal for the class of all separable Banach lattices with order continuous norm. Recently, Lotz and Peck [4] showed that $\overline{W}$ contains isometrically lattice isomorphic copies of certain sublattices of $\ell^\infty(L^1)$. (Here and throughout, L^1 means $L^1[0, 1]$, up to isometric lattice isomorphism.) From this, they deduced that every separable Banach lattice is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of $\overline{W}$. In this article, we show that there is a sublattice G of $\ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ such that G , W , and $\overline{W}$ mutually isometrically lattice isomorphically embed in one another. It is also shown that all rearrangement invariant Banach functions spaces in the sense of [6] are isometrically lattice isomorphic to sublattices of W . For further results regarding the structure of $\text{Weak}L^1(\mu)$, we refer the reader to [3]. Unexplained notation and terminology on vector lattices can be found in [7]. If E is a Banach lattice and I is an arbitrary index set, let $\ell^p(I, E)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, respectively, $c_0(I, E)$, be the space consisting of all families $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $x_i \in E$ for all i , and $(\|x_i\|)_{i \in I} \in \ell^p(I)$, respectively, $c_0(I)$. We write

$\ell^p(E)$ and $c_0(E)$ for these respective spaces if the index set $I = \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $\ell^p(I, E)$ and $c_0(I, E)$ are Banach lattices. The cardinality of a set A is denoted by $|A|$.

1. THE SPACES W AND $\overline{W}$

If f is a real-valued function defined on a set Ω , let the *support* of f be the set $\text{supp } f = \{\omega \in \Omega : |f(\omega)| > 0\}$. Furthermore, for real numbers $p \leq q$, we write $\{p \leq f \leq q\}$ for the set $\{\omega \in \Omega : p \leq f(\omega) \leq q\}$.

Lemma 1. *Let (h_k) be a sequence of disjointly supported Lebesgue measurable functions on $[0, 1]^2$. Suppose there exist $\delta, \gamma > 0$ and strictly positive sequences $(\alpha_k), (\beta_k)$ such that*

1. $\alpha_k < \beta_k < \alpha_{k+1}$ for all k ,
2. $\lim_k \alpha_k = \lim_k \beta_k = \infty$,
3. $\ln(\alpha_{k+1}/\beta_k) \geq (k+1) \sum_{j=1}^k \int h_j$ for all k , and
4. $\delta\alpha_k \leq h_k(s, t) \leq \gamma\beta_k$ for all $(s, t) \in \text{supp } h_k$.

If $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, $q/p > \delta\alpha_N$, and h denotes the pointwise sum $\sum h_k$, then

$$\int_{p \leq h \leq q} h \leq \frac{1}{N} \ln \frac{\gamma q}{\delta p} + \sup_k \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k.$$

Proof. If $[\delta\alpha_k, \gamma\beta_k] \cap [p, q] = \emptyset$, $\int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k = 0$. So we may assume that the said intersection is non-empty for some k . Since $\delta\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$, $[\delta\alpha_k, \gamma\beta_k] \cap [p, q] \neq \emptyset$ for at most finitely many k . Let m and n be the minimum and maximum of the set $\{k : [\delta\alpha_k, \gamma\beta_k] \cap [p, q] \neq \emptyset\}$ respectively. We consider two cases.

Case 1 $m = n$.

In this case,

$$\int_{p \leq h \leq q} h = \int_{p \leq h_m \leq q} h_m \leq \sup_k \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k.$$

Case 2 $m < n$.

Note that $p \leq \gamma\beta_m$, and $q \geq \delta\alpha_n$. Therefore,

$$\ln \frac{\gamma q}{\delta p} \geq \ln \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_{n-1}} \geq n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int h_k.$$

Now $q > \delta p \alpha_N \geq \delta \alpha_N$; hence $n \geq N$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{p \leq h \leq q} h &= \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k + \int_{p \leq h_n \leq q} h_n \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int h_k + \int_{p \leq h_n \leq q} h_n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{\gamma q}{\delta p} + \sup_k \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \ln \frac{\gamma q}{\delta p} + \sup_k \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Write any element $g \in \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ as $g = (g_{ij})$, where $g_{ij} \in L^1$ for all i, j , and $\sup_{i,j} \|g_{ij}\|_{L^1} < \infty$. For any double sequence of numbers $M = (M_{ij})$ such that $M_{ij} \geq 1$ for all i, j , let $F = F_M$ be the (non-closed) lattice ideal of $\ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ consisting of all $g = (g_{ij}) \in \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ such that $\sup_{i,j} \|g_{ij}\|_{L^\infty}/M_{ij} < \infty$. For the next result, we follow the idea of Lotz and Peck [4] in considering the $\text{Weak}L^1$ space defined on the unit square $[0, 1]^2$ endowed with Lebesgue measure. Since $[0, 1]$ and $[0, 1]^2$ are isomorphic measure spaces, their corresponding $\text{Weak}L^1$ spaces are isometrically lattice isomorphic; the same holds for the respective normed and Banach envelopes.

Proposition 2. *There exists a lattice homomorphism $T : F \rightarrow W$ of norm ≤ 1 which vanishes on $F \cap c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$.*

Proof. Choose positive sequences (ε_n) and (r_i) so that $1 \geq \varepsilon_n$, $\lim_n \varepsilon_n = 0$, and $r_i > 1$, $\lim_i r_i = \infty$. For each n , let E_n be the conditional expectation operator on L^1 with respect to the σ -algebra generated by $\{[\frac{m-1}{2^n}, \frac{m}{2^n}) : 1 \leq m \leq 2^n\}$. If $i, j, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let A_{ijn} be a countable set which is dense in

$$\{f \in E_n L^1 : \|f\|_{L^1} = 1, \quad \varepsilon_n \leq f \leq nM_{ij}\}$$

with respect to the L^∞ -norm. For each $f \in A_{ijn}$, let $(a_m(f))_{m=1}^{2^n}$ be the coefficients such that

$$f = \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} a_m(f) \chi_{[(m-1)/2^n, m/2^n]}.$$

Note that $\varepsilon_n \leq a_m(f) \leq 2^n$ for $1 \leq m \leq 2^n$. Arrange $\cup A_{ijn}$ into a sequence (f_k) . For each k , determine $i(k), j(k), n(k)$ such that $f_k \in A_{i(k), j(k), n(k)}$. Choose a positive sequence (b_k) so that if we define $\alpha_k = b_k/2^{n(k)}$, and $\beta_k = M_{i(k), j(k)} r_{i(k)} b_k / \varepsilon_{n(k)}$, then $\alpha_k < \beta_k < \alpha_{k+1}$, $\lim_k \alpha_k = \infty = \lim_k \beta_k$, and

$$\ln \frac{\alpha_{k+1}}{\beta_k} \geq (k+1) \sum_{l=1}^k \ln r_{i(l)}.$$

Let $g = (g_{ij}) \in F$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a function h_k on $[0, 1]^2$ by

$$h_k(s, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n(k)}} \frac{g_{i(k), j(k)}(t)}{s} \chi_{B_{km}},$$

where

$$B_{km} = \left\{ (s, t) : \frac{a_m(f_k)}{r_{i(k)} b_k} < s < \frac{a_m(f_k)}{b_k}, \frac{m-1}{2^{n(k)}} < t < \frac{m}{2^{n(k)}} \right\}.$$

The map S defined by $Sg = \sum h_k$, where the sum is taken pointwise, is a linear map from F into the space of Lebesgue measurable functions on $[0, 1]^2$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } h_k &\subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{2^{n(k)}} \left\{ (s, t) : \frac{a_m(f_k)}{r_{i(k)} b_k} < s < \frac{a_m(f_k)}{b_k} \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ (s, t) : \frac{\varepsilon_{n(k)}}{r_{i(k)} b_k} < s < \frac{2^{n(k)}}{b_k} \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ (s, t) : \frac{1}{\beta_k} < s < \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the h_k 's are pairwise disjoint. As the sets $B_{km}, 1 \leq m \leq 2^{n(k)}$, are also pairwise disjoint for each k , it follows readily that S is a lattice homomorphism. Suppose $g \in F$, $\|g\| = \sup_{i,j} \|g_{ij}\|_{L^1} \leq 1$, let us estimate the ρ -norm of the function Sg . In the first instance, let us assume additionally that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $g_{ij}(t) \geq \delta$ for all i, j , and t . Set $\gamma = \sup_{i,j} \|g_{ij}\|_{L^\infty} / M_{ij}$. If $(s, t) \in \text{supp } h_k$, then

$$\frac{\delta}{s} \leq \frac{g_{i(k),j(k)}(t)}{s} = h_k(s, t) \leq \frac{\gamma M_{i(k),j(k)}}{s},$$

and

$$\frac{M_{i(k),j(k)}}{\beta_k} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n(k)}}{r_{i(k)} b_k} < s < \frac{2^{n(k)}}{b_k} = \frac{1}{\alpha_k}.$$

Hence

$$\delta \alpha_k \leq h_k(s, t) \leq \gamma \beta_k.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int h_k &= \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n(k)}} \int_{\frac{m-1}{2^{n(k)}}}^{\frac{m}{2^{n(k)}}} \int_{\frac{a_m(f_k)}{r_{i(k)} b_k}}^{\frac{a_m(f_k)}{b_k}} \frac{g_{i(k),j(k)}(t)}{s} ds dt \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n(k)}} \int_{\frac{m-1}{2^{n(k)}}}^{\frac{m}{2^{n(k)}}} g_{i(k),j(k)}(t) dt \ln r_{i(k)} \\ &= \|g_{i(k),j(k)}\|_{L^1} \ln r_{i(k)} \leq \ln r_{i(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Therefore,

$$\ln \frac{\alpha_{k+1}}{\beta_k} \geq (k+1) \sum_{l=1}^k \ln r_{i(l)} \geq (k+1) \sum_{l=1}^k \int h_l.$$

By Lemma 1, if $q/p > \delta \alpha_N$, and $p \geq 1$, then

$$\int_{p \leq Sg \leq q} Sg \leq \frac{1}{N} \ln \frac{\gamma q}{\delta p} + \sup_k \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k.$$

If $q/p > \delta \alpha_N$ and $0 < p < 1$, then, using Lemma 1 again,

$$\int_{p \leq Sg \leq q} Sg \leq \int_{p \leq Sg \leq 1} Sg + \int_{1 \leq Sg \leq q/p} Sg \leq 1 + \frac{1}{N} \ln \frac{\gamma q}{\delta p} + \sup_k \int_{1 \leq h_k \leq q/p} h_k.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{q/p > n \\ p, q > 0}} \int_{p \leq Sg \leq q} Sg / \ln(q/p) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{q/p > n \\ p, q > 0}} \sup_k \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k / \ln(q/p). \tag{2}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{g_{i(k),j(k)}(t)/q}^{g_{i(k),j(k)}(t)/p} \frac{g_{i(k),j(k)}(t)}{s} ds dt \\ &= \|g_{i(k),j(k)}\|_{L^1} \ln \frac{q}{p} \leq \ln \frac{q}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, equation (2) implies that $\rho(Sg) \leq 1$. For a general $g = (g_{ij}) \in F$, and any $\delta > 0$, let $g' = (g'_{ij})$, where $g'_{ij} = |g_{ij}| + \delta$. By the above calculation, $\rho(Sg') \leq \|g'\| = \|g\| + \delta$. Since S is a lattice homomorphism, $|Sg'| \geq |Sg|$. Thus $\rho(Sg) \leq \rho(Sg') \leq \|g\| + \delta$. As $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\rho(Sg) \leq \|g\|$. In particular,

applying Lemma 1 in [4], we see that S maps into $\text{Weak}L^1$. It is now clear that the map $T : F \rightarrow W$ defined by $Tg = Sg + N$ is a lattice homomorphism of norm ≤ 1 .

It remains to show that T vanishes on $F \cap c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$. By the continuity of T , it suffices to show that $Tg = 0$ for all $g = (g_{ij}) \in F$ such that there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \neq i_0$. As above, we may assume additionally that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $g_{i_0j}(t) > \delta$ for all j and t . If $h_k \neq 0$, then $g_{i(k),j(k)} \neq 0$; hence $i(k) = i_0$. Using (1),

$$\int_{p \leq h_k \leq q} h_k \leq \int h_k \leq \|g\| \ln r_{i(k)} = \|g\| \ln r_{i_0}.$$

By (2),

$$\rho(Sg) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{q/p > n \\ p, q > 0}} \frac{\|g\| \ln r_{i_0}}{\ln(q/p)} = 0.$$

□

Let Q be the quotient map from $\ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ onto $\ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$. Since Q is a lattice homomorphism, $G = QF$ is a sublattice of $\ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$.

Theorem 3. *There exists an isometric lattice isomorphism from QF into W .*

Proof. Let T be the map defined in the proof of Proposition 2. Since T vanishes on $F \cap c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$, there exists $R : QF \rightarrow W$ such that $T = RQ|_F$. Now R is a lattice homomorphism, since both T and Q are, and $\|R\| \leq \|T\| \leq 1$. We claim that $\rho(RQg) \geq \|Qg\|$ for all $g \in F$. Suppose $g = (g_{ij}) \in F$, and $\|Qg\| = 1$. We may assume that there exist sequences of natural numbers $(i(l))$, $(j(l))$ such that $(i(l))$ increases to ∞ , and $\|g_{i(l),j(l)}\|_{L^1} = 1$ for all l . Recall the sequence (f_k) chosen in the proof of Proposition 2. Given $\eta > 0$, there exists a sequence $(k(l))$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{k(l)} \in \cup_n A_{i(l),j(l),n}$,

$$\sup_l \| |g_{i(l),j(l)}| - f_{k(l)} \|_{L^1} \leq \eta, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_l \frac{\|f_{k(l)}\|_{L^\infty}}{M_{i(l),j(l)}} < \infty.$$

Let $\phi_{ij} = f_{k(l)}$, and $\psi_{ij} = g_{i(l),j(l)}$ if $(i, j) = (i(l), j(l))$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi_{ij} = \psi_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Then $\phi = (\phi_{ij})$ and $\psi = (\psi_{ij})$ are both in F , and $\|\phi - |\psi|\| \leq \eta$. Since $\|T\| \leq 1$,

$$\rho(T\psi) = \rho(T|\psi|) \geq \rho(T\phi) - \eta.$$

Then

$$|g| \geq \psi \implies |Tg| \geq T\psi \implies \rho(Tg) \geq \rho(T\psi) \geq \rho(T\phi) - \eta.$$

For a given l , write $f_{k(l)} = \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} a_m \chi_{[(m-1)/2^n, m/2^n]}$ for some $(a_m)_{m=1}^{2^n}$, and some n . Note that $i(k(l)) = i(l)$, $j(k(l)) = j(l)$, and $n(k(l)) = n$. By definition of T , for $1 \leq m \leq 2^n$, $(s, t) \in B_{k(l),m}$, $|T\phi(s, t)| = \frac{a_m}{s}$. In particular, $b_{k(l)} < |T\phi(s, t)| < r_{i(l)} b_{k(l)}$ for $(s, t) \in \cup_{m=1}^{2^n} B_{k(l),m}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{b_{k(l)} \leq |T\phi| \leq r_{i(l)} b_{k(l)}} |T\phi| &\geq \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \iint_{B_{k(l),m}} \frac{a_m}{s} ds dt \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} \frac{a_m}{2^n} \ln r_{i(l)} = \|f_{k(l)}\|_{L^1} \ln r_{i(l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_l r_{i(l)} = \infty$, we see that $\rho(T\phi) \geq \limsup_l \|f_{k(l)}\|_{L^1} \geq 1 - \eta$. As $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows immediately that $\rho(RQg) = \rho(Tg) \geq 1$. □

Observe that if $M = (M_{ij})$ and $M' = (M'_{ij})$ satisfy $\sup_j M_{ij} = \sup_j M'_{ij} = \infty$ for all i , then each of QF_M and $QF_{M'}$ is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of the other. For the remainder of this section, let $M_{ij} = (i+1)j/\ln(i+1)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. The next result and Theorem 3 together show that $QF = QF_M$ is a maximal sublattice of W .

Theorem 4. *There is an isometric lattice isomorphism from W into QF .*

Proof. Given $f \in \text{Weak}L^1$, and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $g_{ij} = f\chi_{\{j \leq |f| \leq (i+1)j\}}/\ln(i+1)$. It is easy to see that $g = (g_{ij}) \in F$, and that

$$\|Qg\| = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_j \|g_{ij}\|_{L^1} = \rho(f). \quad (3)$$

Consider the mapping $L : \text{Weak}L^1 \rightarrow QF$ defined by $Lf = Qg$. It follows from the proof of the Key Lemma 2.3 in [3] that L is linear. Now (3) tells us that the map $\tilde{L} : W \rightarrow QF$, $\tilde{L}(f + N) = Lf$, is well defined and a linear isometry. Also,

$$\tilde{L}(|f + N|) = L|f| = Q|g| = |Qg| = |Lf| = |\tilde{L}(f + N)|.$$

Hence $\tilde{L}$ is the isometric lattice isomorphism sought. $\square$

Theorem 5. *There exists an isometric lattice isomorphism from $\overline{W}$ into W .*

Proof. It is easily verified that the set

$$D = \{Qg : g = (g_{ij}) \in \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1)), \quad \|g_{ij}\|_{L^\infty} \leq M_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j\}$$

is closed in $\ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$. Let $\tilde{L} : W \rightarrow QF$ be the isometric lattice isomorphism given in Theorem 4. By definition of $\tilde{L}$, $\tilde{L}(W) \subseteq D$. Now there is a unique continuous linear extension $L^\# : \overline{W} \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ of $\tilde{L}$. Since $\tilde{L}(W) \subseteq D$, and D is closed, $L^\#(\overline{W}) \subseteq D \subseteq QF$. Obviously, $L^\#$ is an isometric lattice isomorphism. Let $R : QF \rightarrow W$ be the isometric lattice isomorphism constructed in Theorem 3, then $RL^\#$ is an isometric lattice isomorphism from $\overline{W}$ into W . $\square$

2. REARRANGEMENT INVARIANT SPACES

In this section, we show that if E is a rearrangement invariant space in the sense of [6, §2a], then E is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W . The result is inspired by Theorem 4 in [4], where it was shown that the $\text{Weak}L^p$ spaces defined on separable measure spaces are isometrically lattice isomorphic to sublattices of $\overline{W}$. We provide the proof only for the rearrangement invariant spaces defined on $[0, \infty)$. The proofs for the measure spaces $[0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{N}$ can be obtained by making some obvious adjustments. Recall that if E is a rearrangement invariant space (or, more generally, a Köthe function space [6, Definition 1.b.17]), every measurable function h such that hf is integrable for all $f \in E$ defines a bounded linear functional x'_h on E by $x'_h(f) = \int fh$. Such functionals are called *integrals*. Every simple function generates an integral on E .

Lemma 6. *Let E be a rearrangement invariant space on $[0, \infty)$, there exists a sequence of simple functions (h_i) such that $\|x'_{h_i}\| \leq 1$ for all n , and $\|f\| = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\int fh_i|$ for all $f \in E$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the collection of all simple functions of the form $h = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \chi_{[c_{j-1}, c_j]}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a_j)_{j=1}^k, (c_j)_{j=0}^k$ are rational numbers, and $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k$. Define $\mathcal{F}_1$ to be the subset $\{h \in \mathcal{F} : \|x'_h\| \leq 1\}$. We claim that for any $f \in E$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $h \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $|\int fh| > \|f\| - \varepsilon$. Let $f \in E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By definition of rearrangement invariant spaces, there exists an integral $x'_g \in E'$ such that $\|x'_g\| \leq 1$, and $|x'_g(f)| = |\int fg| > \|f\| - \varepsilon/2$. Let (g_n) be a sequence of simple functions which converges to g pointwise, and such that $|g_n| \leq |g|$ for all n . By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, $\lim_n \int fg_n = \int fg$. We may thus assume additionally that g is a simple function. It is easy to see that there exists $h \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $|\int fh| \geq |\int fg| - \varepsilon/2 > \|f\| - \varepsilon$, and that $h^* \leq g^*$, where h^* and g^* are the decreasing rearrangements of $|h|$ and $|g|$ respectively. Thus $\|x'_h\| \leq \|x'_g\| \leq 1$. Therefore, $h \in \mathcal{F}_1$, as desired.

Since $\mathcal{F}_1$ is countable, we can arrange for a sequence (h_i) so that each element of $\mathcal{F}_1$ appears infinitely many times in the sequence. Clearly the sequence (h_i) fulfills the conditions of the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 7. *Every rearrangement invariant space E on $[0, \infty)$ is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W .*

Proof. We will show that E is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of $\overline{QF_M}$ for some suitably chosen double sequence $M = (M_{ij})$. Then, by Theorem 3, E is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of $\overline{W}$, which in turn is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W by Theorem 5.

Let (h_i) be the sequence given by Lemma 6. Since h_i is a simple function, there exists $0 < a_i < \infty$ such that $\text{supp } h_i \subseteq [0, a_i]$. For $f \in E$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in [0, 1]$, define $f_{i1}(t) = a_i f(a_i t) |h_i(a_i t)|$. Also let $f_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $j > 1$. Clearly

$$\|f_{i1}\|_{L^1} = \int_0^{a_i} |f(u)h_i(u)| du = \int_0^\infty |f(u)h_i(u)| du. \quad (4)$$

Thus $\|f_{i1}\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x'_{|h_i|}\| = \|f\| \cdot \|x'_{h_i}\| \leq \|f\|$ for all i . Hence $(f_{ij}) \in \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))$. The map $T : E \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ defined by $Tf = Q(f_{ij})$ is easily seen to be a lattice homomorphism. It follows from the preceding calculation that $\|T\| \leq 1$. On the other hand, by equation (4),

$$\limsup_i \sup_j \|f_{ij}\|_{L^1} = \limsup_i \|f_{i1}\|_{L^1} = \limsup_i \int |fh_i| \geq \limsup_i \left| \int fh_i \right| \geq \|f\|.$$

Therefore, T is an isometry. To complete the proof, it suffices to produce a sequence (M_i) such that $\lim_i \|f_{i1} \chi_{\{|f_{i1}| > M_i\}}\|_{L^1} = 0$. For then, if we define $M_{i1} = \max\{M_i, 1\}$, and $M_{ij} = 1$ for $j > 1$, it is easy to check that $TE \subseteq \overline{QF_M}$, where $M = (M_{ij})$.

Let $K_i = \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}$ for all i . First note that for $f \in E$, $\|f\| \geq \int_0^1 f^*(t) dt$; hence $c\lambda\{|f| > c\} \leq \|f\|$ if $c > \|f\|$. Therefore, if $c > a_i K_i \|f\|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\{|f_{i1}| > c\} &\leq \lambda\left\{t : |f(a_i t)| > \frac{c}{a_i K_i}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_i} \lambda\left\{|f| > \frac{c}{a_i K_i}\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{K_i}{c} \|f\|. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Case 1. $\sup_i K_i = K < \infty$.

Let (M_i) be any sequence such that $M_i/a_i \uparrow \infty$. Let $f \in E$. For all i such that $M_i > a_i K \|f\|$, $\lambda\{|f_{i1}| > M_i\} \leq K \|f\|/M_i$ by (5). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{i1}\chi_{\{|f_{i1}| > M_i\}}\|_{L^1} &\leq \int_0^{K\|f\|/M_i} f_{i1}^*(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{K a_i \|f\|/M_i} f^*(t) h_i^*(t) dt \\ &\leq K \int_0^{K a_i \|f\|/M_i} f^*(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^1 f^*(t) dt \leq \|f\| < \infty$, we obtain that $\lim_i \|f_{i1}\chi_{\{|f_{i1}| > M_i\}}\|_{L^1} = 0$.

Case 2. $\sup_i K_i = \infty$.

For each i , choose $b_i > 0$ such that $h_i^*(b_i) \geq K_i/2$. Then, for all $f \in E$,

$$\frac{K_i}{2} \int_0^{b_i} f^*(t) dt \leq \int_0^{b_i} f^*(t) h_i^*(t) dt \leq \|f\| \quad (6)$$

since $\|x'_{h_i^*}\| = \|x'_{h_i}\| \leq 1$. Let (n_i) be chosen so that $\lim_i K_i/K_{n_i} = 0$. Now let (M_i) be a sequence such that $(a_i K_i)^{-1} M_i > \max\{i, i/b_{n_i}\}$ for all i . If $f \in E$, and $i > \|f\|$, then $\lambda\{|f_{i1}| > M_i\} \leq K_i \|f\|/M_i$ by (5). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{i1}\chi_{\{|f_{i1}| > M_i\}}\|_{L^1} &\leq \int_0^{K_i\|f\|/M_i} f_{i1}^*(t) dt \\ &\leq K_i \int_0^{a_i K_i \|f\|/M_i} f^*(t) dt \\ &\leq K_i \int_0^{b_{n_i}} f^*(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{2K_i \|f\|}{K_{n_i}} \quad \text{by (6)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_i \|f_{i1}\chi_{\{|f_{i1}| > M_i\}}\|_{L^1} = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 7 can be extended to certain rearrangement invariant spaces defined on non-separable measure spaces. Endow the two-point set $\{-1, 1\}$ with the measure which assigns a mass of $1/2$ to each singleton set. For any index set I , denote by μ the product measure on $\{-1, 1\}^I$. If I is countable, $\{-1, 1\}^I$ is measure isomorphic to $[0, 1]$. For the remainder of this section, fix an index set I which has the cardinality of the continuum. For each $i \in I$, let $\varepsilon_i : \{-1, 1\}^I \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ be the projection onto the i -th coordinate. If J is a finite subset of I , and $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in J} \in \{-1, 1\}^J$, define $\phi_{J, \delta}$ to be the function $\prod_{i \in J} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_i = \delta_i\}}$ on $\{-1, 1\}^I$. Let Φ_J be the span of the functions $\{\phi_{J, \delta} : \delta \in \{-1, 1\}^J\}$. It is not hard to see that the set $\Phi = \cup\{\Phi_J : J \subseteq I, |J| < \infty\}$ is a vector lattice (with the usual pointwise operations and order). Define E by

$$E = \{\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I} : f_i \in \Phi \text{ for all } i, \quad f_i \neq 0 \text{ for at most finitely many } i\}. \quad (7)$$

Similarly, let E_J consist of all $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I} \in E$ such that $f_i \in \Phi_J$ for all i . Then E is a vector lattice with the coordinatewise operations and order, and $E = \cup\{E_J :$

$J \subseteq I, |J| < \infty\}$. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E is called a *lattice norm* if $|\mathbf{f}| \leq |\mathbf{g}|$ implies $\|\mathbf{f}\| \leq \|\mathbf{g}\|$. For $\mathbf{f} = (f_i) \in E$, let the *distribution function* $d_{\mathbf{f}}$ of $\mathbf{f}$ be defined by $d_{\mathbf{f}}(t) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu\{|f_i| > t\}$, $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 8. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a lattice norm on E which is rearrangement invariant in the sense that $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in E$, $d_{\mathbf{f}} = d_{\mathbf{g}}$ implies $\|\mathbf{f}\| = \|\mathbf{g}\|$. Then $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W .*

Of course, it follows that the completion of E , $\overline{E}$, is isometrically isomorphic to a sublattice of $\overline{W}$. Since $\overline{W}$ is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W by Theorem 5, the same is true for $\overline{E}$. This leads immediately to the following corollary.

Corollary 9. *If $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $\ell^p(I, L^p(\{-1, 1\}^I))$ is isometrically isomorphic to a sublattice of W .*

As indicated above, L^1 may be identified (as a Banach lattice) with $L^1(\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. This identification will be in force for the rest of the section. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $r_k : \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ be the projection onto the k -th coordinate. Select a bijection $\gamma : I \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus, for every $i \in I$, $\gamma(i) = (\gamma(i, k))_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where $\gamma(i, k) = \pm 1$ for all $i \in I$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, for every i , pick a strictly decreasing sequence of negative integers $k_i = (k_i(m))_{m=1}^{\infty}$ such that

- for each m , $\{k_i(m) : i \in I\}$ has only finitely many distinct values;
- if $i \neq i'$, then $\{m : k_i(m) = k_{i'}(m)\}$ is finite.

Given a finite subset J of I , $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^J$, $i \in I$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define, on $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\psi_{J, \delta, i, m} = 2^m \prod_{k=1}^m \chi_{\{r_k = \gamma(i, k)\}} \cdot \prod_{j \in J} \chi_{\{r_{k_j(m)} = \delta_j\}}.$$

The mapping $T_{J, m} : E_J \rightarrow L^1$ is defined by

$$T_{J, m} \mathbf{f} = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^J} a(i, \delta) \psi_{J, \delta, i, m}$$

for all $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I} \in E_J$, where $f_i = \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^J} a(i, \delta) \phi_{J, \delta}$. Let us remark that the sum over i is in fact a finite sum, since $f_i = 0$ for all but finitely many i . It is clear that $T_{J, m}$ is linear. If I_0 and J are finite subsets of I , there exists $m_0 = m_0(I_0, J) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- $(\gamma(i, 1), \dots, \gamma(i, m_0)) \neq (\gamma(i', 1), \dots, \gamma(i', m_0))$ if $i, i' \in I_0$, $i \neq i'$,
- $k_j(m) \neq k_{j'}(m)$ whenever $j, j' \in J$, $j \neq j'$, and $m \geq m_0$.

The following lemma is easily verified by direct computation.

Lemma 10. *Let I_0, J_1 , and J_2 be finite subsets of I such that $J_1 \subseteq J_2$, and let $m \geq m_0(I_0, J_2)$. If*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J_1}} a(i, \delta) \phi_{J_1, \delta} &= \sum_{\eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J_2}} b(i, \eta) \phi_{J_2, \eta}, \quad \text{for all } i \in I_0, \text{ or} \\ \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J_1}} a(i, \delta) \psi_{J_1, \delta, i, m} &= \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{\eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J_2}} b(i, \eta) \psi_{J_2, \eta, i, m}, \end{aligned}$$

then for all $\eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J_2}$, and all $i \in I_0$, $b(i, \eta) = a(i, \delta)$, where $\delta = \eta|_{J_1}$.

An obvious consequence of the lemma is the following proposition.

Proposition 11. *Let I_0, J_1 , and J_2 be finite subsets of I such that $J_1 \subseteq J_2$, and let $m \geq m_0(I_0, J_2)$. If $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I} \in E_{J_1}$, and $f_i = 0$ for all $i \notin I_0$, then $T_{J_1, m} \mathbf{f} = T_{J_2, m} \mathbf{f}$.*

For each $\mathbf{f} \in E$, choose a finite subset $J(\mathbf{f})$ of I such that $\mathbf{f} \in E_{J(\mathbf{f})}$. Given a double sequence (h_{mn}) of non-negative measurable functions on $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\sup_{mn} \|T_{J(\mathbf{f}), m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_{mn}\|_{L^1} < \infty$ for all $\mathbf{f} \in E$, consider the (non-linear) mapping $T : E \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ defined by $T\mathbf{f} = (T_{J(\mathbf{f}), m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_{mn})_{mn}$.

Proposition 12. *Let $Q : \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1)) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ be the quotient map. Then QT is a linear lattice homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I}$, $\mathbf{g} = (g_i)_{i \in I} \in E$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Choose a finite subset I_0 of I such that $f_i = 0 = g_i$ if $i \notin I_0$. Define $J = J(\mathbf{f}) \cup J(\mathbf{g}) \cup J(\alpha\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g})$. If $m \geq m_0(I_0, J)$, then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{J(\alpha\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g}), m}(\alpha\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g}) \cdot h_{mn} &= T_{J, m}(\alpha\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g}) \cdot h_{mn} && \text{by Proposition 11} \\ &= \alpha T_{J, m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_{mn} + T_{J, m} \mathbf{g} \cdot h_{mn} && \text{by linearity of } T_{J, m} \\ &= \alpha T_{J(\mathbf{f}), m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_{mn} + T_{J(\mathbf{g}), m} \mathbf{g} \cdot h_{mn} && \text{by Proposition 11.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence QT is linear. Now let $J' = J(\mathbf{f}) \cup J(|\mathbf{f}|)$. Note that the functions $\{\psi_{J', \eta, i, m} : i \in I_0, \eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J'}\}$ are pairwise disjoint if $m \geq m_0(I_0, J')$. Thus $T_{J', m} |\mathbf{f}| = |T_{J', m} \mathbf{f}|$ for all $m \geq m_0(I_0, J')$. For all such m , and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from Proposition 11 that

$$|T_{J(\mathbf{f}), m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_{mn}| = |T_{J(\mathbf{f}), m} \mathbf{f}| \cdot h_{mn} = |T_{J', m} \mathbf{f}| \cdot h_{mn} = T_{J', m} |\mathbf{f}| \cdot h_{mn} = T_{J(|\mathbf{f}|), m} |\mathbf{f}| \cdot h_{mn}.$$

Therefore, $|QT\mathbf{f}| = QT|\mathbf{f}|$, as required. $\square$

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $K_m = \{k_i(m) : i \in I\}$ is a finite subset of negative integers. Let $K'_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \cup K_m$. If $\eta = (\eta_k) \in \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}$, let $\zeta_{\eta, m}$ be the function $\prod_{k \in K'_m} \chi_{\{r_k = \eta_k\}}$ defined on $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Associate with each real sequence $c = (c_\eta)_{\eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}}$ a function $h_c = \sum_{\eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}} c_\eta \zeta_{\eta, m}$. Also, for each m , choose subsets I_m and J_m of I such that $|I_m| = 2^m$, and $|J_m| = |K_m|$. There exists a bijection $\pi_m : I_m \times \{-1, 1\}^{J_m} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}$. Given $c = (c_\eta)_{\eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}}$, define $\mathbf{h}_c = (h_{i, c})_{i \in I}$ by $h_{i, c} = \sum_{\tau \in \{-1, 1\}^{J_m}} c_{\pi_m(i, \tau)} \phi_{J_m, \tau}$ for $i \in I_m$, and $h_{i, c} = 0$ otherwise.

Lemma 13. *Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I} \in E$, and let I_0 be a finite subset of I such that $f_i = 0$ if $i \notin I_0$. If $m \geq m_0(I_0, J(\mathbf{f}))$, and $c = (c_\eta)_{\eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}}$, then there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = (\tilde{h}_i)_{i \in I}$, such that $d_{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}} = d_{\mathbf{h}_c}$, and $\|T_{J(\mathbf{f}), m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^1} = \sum_{i \in I} \int |f_i \tilde{h}_i|$.*

Proof. Write $f_i = \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} a(i, \delta) \phi_{J(\mathbf{f}), \delta}$ for all $i \in I_0$. There exist pairwise disjoint subsets $\{C_{i, \delta} : i \in I_0, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}\}$ of $\{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}$, each of cardinality $2^{|K_m| - |J(\mathbf{f})|}$, such that $\psi_{J(\mathbf{f}), \delta, i, m} = 2^m \sum_{\eta \in C_{i, \delta}} \zeta_{\eta, m}$. Then

$$\|T_{J(\mathbf{f}), m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^1} = \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} \sum_{\eta \in C_{i, \delta}} \frac{|a(i, \delta) c_\eta|}{2^{|K_m|}}.$$

Since $m \geq m_0(I_0, J(\mathbf{f}))$, $|I_0| \leq 2^m$, and $|J(\mathbf{f})| \leq |K_m|$. Choose subsets I_1 and J'_m of I such that $I_0 \cap I_1 = \emptyset$, $|I_0 \cup I_1| = 2^m$, $J(\mathbf{f}) \subseteq J'_m$, and $|J'_m| = |K_m| = |J_m|$. For $i \in I_0$, $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}$, there exists a bijection $\nu_{i, \delta} : C_{i, \delta} \rightarrow \{\tau \in \{-1, 1\}^{J'_m} : \tau|_{J(\mathbf{f})} = \delta\}$. Define $\tilde{h}_i = \sum_{\delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} \sum_{\eta \in C_{i, \delta}} c_\eta \phi_{J'_m, \nu_{i, \delta}(\eta)}$ for $i \in I_0$. Finally, there is a bijection $\beta : I_1 \times \{-1, 1\}^{J'_m} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m} \setminus \bigcup \{C_{i, \delta} : i \in I_0, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}\}$. Define $\tilde{h}_i =$

$\sum_{\tau \in \{-1,1\}^{J'_m}} c_{\beta(i,\tau)} \phi_{J'_m,\tau}$ for $i \in I_1$. Then let $\tilde{h}_i = 0$ if $i \notin I_0 \cup I_1$. It is straightforward to check that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = (\tilde{h}_i)_{i \in I}$ fulfills the requirements of the lemma. $\square$

For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let B_m be the collection of all non-negative rational sequences $c = (c_\eta)_{\eta \in \{-1,1\}^{K'_m}}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} \int |f_i h_{i,c}| \leq \|\mathbf{f}\|$ for all $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I} \in E$. Let us note that if $c \in B_m$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = (\tilde{h}_i)_{i \in I}$, $d_{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}} = d_{\mathbf{h}_c}$, then, due to the rearrangement invariance of the norm on E , $\sum_{i \in I} \int |f_i \tilde{h}_i| \leq \|\mathbf{f}\|$ for all $\mathbf{f} \in E$.

Proposition 14. *Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_i)_{i \in I} \in E$, and let I_0 be a finite subset of I such that $f_i = 0$ for all $i \notin I_0$. For all $m \geq m_0(I_0, J(\mathbf{f}))$,*

$$\sup_{c \in B_m} \|T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^1} = \|\mathbf{f}\|.$$

Proof. By Lemma 13, for any $c \in B_m$, there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = (\tilde{h}_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $d_{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}} = d_{\mathbf{h}_c}$, and $\|T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^1} = \sum_{i \in I} \int |f_i \tilde{h}_i|$. The last sum is $\leq \|\mathbf{f}\|$ by the remark preceding the proposition. Conversely, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x' \in E'$, $\|x'\| \leq 1$ such that $|x'(\mathbf{f})| > (1 - \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{f}\|$. For $i_0 \in I_0$, and $\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}$, let $\mathbf{x}_{i_0,\delta} = (x_i) \in E$, where $x_i = \phi_{J(\mathbf{f}),\delta}$ if $i = i_0$, and $x_i = 0$ otherwise. Set $b(i, \delta) = 2^{J(\mathbf{f})} x'(\mathbf{x}_{i_0,\delta})$ for $i \in I_0$, $\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}$. Write $f_i = \sum_{\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} a(i, \delta) \phi_{J(\mathbf{f}),\delta}$ for $i \in I_0$. Then

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{f}\| < |x'(\mathbf{f})| \leq \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} \frac{|a(i, \delta) b(i, \delta)|}{2^{J(\mathbf{f})}}.$$

Hence, there exist non-negative rational numbers $c(i, \delta)$ such that $c(i, \delta) \leq |b(i, \delta)|$, and

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{f}\| < \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} \frac{|a(i, \delta) c(i, \delta)|}{2^{J(\mathbf{f})}}.$$

Define $\mathbf{g} = (g_i)_{i \in I}$ by $g_i = \sum_{\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} c(i, \delta) \phi_{J(\mathbf{f}),\delta}$ for $i \in I_0$, $g_i = 0$ otherwise. If $\mathbf{p} = (p_i)_{i \in I} \in E$, define $P_{J(\mathbf{f})} \mathbf{p} = (q_i)_{i \in I}$, $q_i = \sum_{\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} 2^{J(\mathbf{f})} \int p_i \phi_{J(\mathbf{f}),\delta} \cdot \phi_{J(\mathbf{f}),\delta}$. By a standard argument, using the rearrangement invariance of the norm on E , we see that $\|P_{J(\mathbf{f})} \mathbf{p}\| \leq \|\mathbf{p}\|$. Hence

$$\sum_{i \in I_0} \int |p_i g_i| \leq |x'| (P_{J(\mathbf{f})} |\mathbf{p}|) \leq \|\mathbf{p}\|.$$

From the proof of Lemma 13, there are pairwise disjoint subsets $\{C_{i,\delta} : i \in I_0, \delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}\}$ of $\{-1,1\}^{K'_m}$, each of cardinality $2^{|K'_m| - |J(\mathbf{f})|}$, such that if we let $c_\eta = c(i, \delta)$ for all $\eta \in C_{i,\delta}$, $i \in I_0, \delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}$, and $c_\eta = 0$ otherwise, then for $c = (c_\eta)_{\eta \in \{-1,1\}^{K'_m}}$,

$$\|T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^1} = \sum_{i \in I_0} \sum_{\delta \in \{-1,1\}^{J(\mathbf{f})}} \frac{|a(i, \delta) c(i, \delta)|}{2^{|J(\mathbf{f})|}} > (1 - \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{f}\|.$$

Note that $d_{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_c} = d_{\mathbf{g}}$. Hence $\sum_{i \in I_0} \int |p_i h_{i,c}| \leq \|\mathbf{p}\|$ for all $\mathbf{p} = (p_i)_{i \in I} \in E$. Thus $c \in B_m$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain the reverse inequality

$$\sup_{c \in B_m} \|T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m} \mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^1} \geq \|\mathbf{f}\|.$$

This completes the proof the proposition. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 8. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, B_m is countable. Hence we can list the functions $\{h_c : c \in B_m\}$ as a sequence $(h_{mn})_{n=1}^\infty$. Define the map $T : E \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ by $T\mathbf{f} = (T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m}\mathbf{f} \cdot h_{mn})_{mn}$. By Proposition 12, QT is a lattice homomorphism, where $Q : \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1)) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty(L^1))/c_0(\ell^\infty(L^1))$ is the quotient map. It follows from Proposition 14 that QT is an (into) isometry. Finally, note that in the notation of Lemma 13 and Proposition 14, $T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m}\mathbf{f} \cdot h_c \in \text{span}\{\zeta_{\eta,m} : \eta \in \{-1, 1\}^{K'_m}\}$ for all $m \geq m_0(I_0, J(\mathbf{f}))$. Hence $\|T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m}\mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{|K'_m|} \|T_{J(\mathbf{f}),m}\mathbf{f} \cdot h_c\|_{L^1}$. Thus $QT\mathbf{f} \in QF_M$, where $M = (M_{mn})$, $M_{mn} = 2^{|K'_m|}$ for all m and n . An appeal to Theorem 3 yields the desired result.

3. ORDER ISOMETRY

Following [4], we say that a linear operator T from a Banach lattice E into a Banach lattice F is an *order isometry* if $Tx \geq 0$ if and only if $x \geq 0$, and $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in E$. In [4], it is shown that if E is a separable Banach lattice, and E' has a weak order unit, then E' is order isometric to a closed subspace of $\overline{W}$. Here, we show that the assumption that E' has a weak order unit can be removed.

Let $\Gamma = \{-1, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi \in \Phi_m = \{-1, 1\}^m$, let $\Gamma_\phi = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma_{\{1, \dots, m\}} = \phi\}$.

Proposition 15. *There is an order isometry from $\ell^\infty(\ell^1(\Gamma))$ onto a closed subspace of $(\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{\ell^\infty} / (\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{c_0}$.*

Proof. Partition $\mathbb{N}$ into a sequence of infinite subsets $(L_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. If $a \in \ell^\infty(\ell^1(\Gamma))$, write $a = (a_\gamma^n)$, so that $\|a\| = \sup_n \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |a_\gamma^n| < \infty$. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\phi \in \Phi_m$, define $b_\phi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\phi} a_\gamma^n$, where n is the unique integer such that $m \in L_n$. Define the map $U : \ell^\infty(\ell^1(\Gamma)) \rightarrow (\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{\ell^\infty}$ by $Ta = b$, where $b = ((b_\phi)_{\phi \in \Phi_1}, (b_\phi)_{\phi \in \Phi_2}, \dots)$. Clearly T is a positive linear operator. Note that if $m \in L_n$, then

$$\sum_{\phi \in \Phi_m} |b_\phi| \leq \sum_{\phi \in \Phi_m} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\phi} |a_\gamma^n| = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |a_\gamma^n| \leq \|a\|.$$

Hence $\|T\| \leq 1$. Let $\mathcal{Q} : (\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{\ell^\infty} \rightarrow (\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{\ell^\infty} / (\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{c_0}$ be the quotient map. Then $\mathcal{Q}T$ is positive, and $\|\mathcal{Q}T\| \leq 1$. We claim that $\mathcal{Q}T$ is an order isometry.

If $\mathcal{Q}Ta = \mathcal{Q}b \geq 0$, then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum \{b_\phi : \phi \in \Phi_m, b_\phi \leq 0\} = 0$. If $a \not\geq 0$, then there exists n_0 and γ_0 such that $a_{\gamma_0}^{n_0} < 0$. List the elements of L_{n_0} in ascending order : $L_{n_0} = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\}$. For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_r = \gamma_0|_{\{1, \dots, m_r\}}$. Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} b_{\phi_r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\phi_r}} a_\gamma^{n_0} = a_{\gamma_0}^{n_0} < 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in \Phi_{m_r} \\ b_\phi \leq 0}} b_\phi \leq a_{\gamma_0}^{n_0} < 0,$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $a \geq 0$.

Now, assume $\|a\| > 1$. Then there exists n such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |a_\gamma^n| > 1$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose a finite subset Γ_1 of Γ such that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} |a_\gamma^n| > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\gamma \notin \Gamma_1} |a_\gamma^n| < \varepsilon.$$

Choose $m \in L_n$ so that if we define $\phi_\gamma = \gamma|_{\{1, \dots, m\}}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $\phi_\gamma \neq \phi_{\gamma'}$ for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_1$, $\gamma \neq \gamma'$. For $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma_1$,

$$|b_{\phi_{\tilde{\gamma}}}| = \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\phi_{\tilde{\gamma}}}} a_\gamma^n \right| \geq |a_{\tilde{\gamma}}^n| - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \notin \Gamma_1 \\ \gamma \in \Gamma_{\phi_{\tilde{\gamma}}}}} |a_\gamma^n|.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} |b_{\phi_\gamma}| \geq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} |a_\gamma^n| - \sum_{\gamma \notin \Gamma_1} |a_\gamma^n| > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\|\mathcal{Q}Ta\| \geq \|a\|$. Since $\|\mathcal{Q}T\| \leq 1$ as well, we conclude that $\mathcal{Q}T$ is an isometry. $\square$

Lemma 16. *Let E be a separable Banach lattice. Then E' is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of $\ell^\infty(\ell^1(\Gamma, L^1))$.*

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 3 in [4], for any $x \in E$, $x > 0$, there exist a compact Hausdorff space K , and a lattice homomorphism $S : C(K) \rightarrow E$ such that S' is a lattice homomorphism, $[0, S'x']$ is weakly (and hence norm) separable, and $\|S'x'\| = |x'|(x)$ for all $x' \in E'$. Note that E' , and hence $S'E'$, has a dense subset of cardinality $\leq |\Gamma|$. Since $S'E'$ is a sublattice of the AL -space $M(K)$, has separable order intervals, and has density $\leq |\Gamma|$, it follows that $S'E'$ is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of $\ell^1(\Gamma, L^1)$. Now let (x_n) be a positive sequence in the unit ball of E such that $\|x'\| = \sup_n |x'|(x_n)$ for all $x' \in E'$. For each n , there exists a lattice homomorphism $R_n : E' \rightarrow \ell^1(\Gamma, L^1)$ such that $\|R_n x'\| = |x'|(x_n)$ for all $x' \in E'$. Clearly, the map $R : E' \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\ell^1(\Gamma, L^1))$ defined by $Rx' = (R_n x')_{n=1}^\infty$ is an isometric lattice isomorphism. $\square$

Theorem 17. *Let E be a separable Banach lattice. Then E' is order isometric to a closed subspace of W .*

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let E_n be the conditional expectation operator on L^1 with respect to the σ -algebra generated by the sets $\{(k-1)/2^n, k/2^n) : 1 \leq k \leq 2^n\}$. Then the map $V_n : \ell^1(\Gamma, L^1) \rightarrow \ell^1(\Gamma, E_n L^1)$ defined by $V_n((f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) = ((E_n f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma})_{n=1}^\infty$ is an order isometry. Since $\ell^1(\Gamma, E_n L^1)$ is clearly isometrically lattice isomorphic to $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, it follows that $\ell^\infty(\ell^1(\Gamma, L^1))$, and hence E' , is order isometric to a closed subspace of $\ell^\infty(\ell^1(\Gamma))$, which in turn is order isometric to a closed subspace of $(\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{\ell^\infty} / (\oplus \ell^1(\Phi_m))_{c_0}$ by Proposition 15. It is a simple exercise to check that the latter space is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of QF_M for a suitably chosen $M = (M_{ij})$. Finally, QF_M is isometrically lattice isomorphic to a sublattice of W by Theorem 3. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] MICHAEL CWIKEL AND CHARLES FEFFERMAN, *Maximal seminorms on $\text{Weak}L^1$* , Studia. Math. **69**(1980), 149-154.
- [2] MICHAEL CWIKEL AND CHARLES FEFFERMAN, *The canonical seminorm on $\text{Weak}L^1$* , Studia. Math. **78**(1984), 275-278.
- [3] JOSEPH KUPKA AND N. TENNEY PECK, *The L_1 structure of weak L_1* , Math. Ann. **269**(1984), 235-262.
- [4] HEINRICH P. LOTZ AND N. T. PECK, *Sublattices of the Banach Envelope of $\text{Weak}L^1$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126**(1998), 75-84.

- [5] N. T. PECK AND MICHEL TALAGRAND, *Banach sublattices of weak L_1* , Israel J. Math. **59**(1987), 257-271.
- [6] JORAM LINDENSTRAUSS AND LIOR TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [7] H. H. SCHAEFER, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE 119260
E-mail address: `matlhh@nus.edu.sg`